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1 Introduction

This paper is motivated by some works that have appeared in recent years concerning the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with electromagnetic fields and critical nonlinearity of the form

\[
i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla + iA(x))^2\psi + W(x)\psi - K(x)|\psi|^{2^*-2}\psi - h(x,|\psi|^2)\psi,
\]

where \(\hbar\) is Planck’s constant, \(i\) is the imaginary unit, \(2^*\) is the critical exponent, \(2^* = 2N/(N-2)\), for \(N \geq 3\), \(A(x) = (A_1(x), A_2(x), \cdots, A_N(x)) : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N\) is a real vector potential and \(W(x)\) is a scalar electric potential. Knowledge of the solutions for the elliptic equation

\[-(\nabla + iA(x))^2u(x) + \lambda(W(x) - E)u(x) = \lambda K(x)|u|^{2^*-2}u + \lambda h(x,|u|^2)u\]

has a great importance in the study of standing-wave solutions of (1.1) i.e the solutions of the type

\[\psi(x,t) = exp(-\frac{iEt}{\hbar})u(x),\]

*This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271364) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2012QNA46).
†Corresponding author. E-mail address: huixingzhangcumt@163.com (H. Zhang).
where $\lambda^{-1} = \hbar^2/2m$. The transition from quantum mechanics to classical mechanics can be conducted by making $\hbar \to 0$. Therefore, the existence and multiplicity of solutions for $\hbar$ small has important physical interest.

The problem in the case $A(x) \equiv 0$ has been explored by many authors including M. Del Pino and P. Felmer [1-2], A. Floer and A. Weinstein [3], Y-G. Oh [4] and F. Wang [5]. For more results, we refer the reader to [6-10], [24-29] and the reference therein.

As for the equation (1.2) in the case of $A(x) \neq 0$ is concerned, we recall P.L. Lions [11], G. Arioli and A. Szulkin [12], S. Cingolani [13] and the works of [14-19], [30]. Among the works mentioned above, the corresponding authors have done a great deal of work and obtained many valuable results. Especially, many results have only been established in subcritical case by using various methods.

Motivated by the results just described, a natural question is whether the existence and multiplicity of results occur for the following perturbed Schrödinger system with critical nonlinearity and electromagnetic fields

$$
\begin{cases}
-\varepsilon \nabla + i A(x))^2 u + V(x) u = H_s(|u|^2, |v|^2) u + K(x)|u|^{2^*-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
-\varepsilon \nabla + i B(x))^2 v + V(x) v = H_t(|u|^2, |v|^2) v + K(x)|v|^{2^*-2} v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{cases}
$$

To my knowledge, it seems there is few work on the existence of solutions to (1.2), but to the system (1.3), there is almost no work on the existence and multiplicity of solutions. By using the similar idea or method of [20,21], we will establish the two main results to (1.3).

Firstly, we make the following assumptions throughout the paper:

\begin{enumerate}
\item[(V_0)] $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, $V(0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = 0$ (this is refereed as critical frequency and first appeared in [24-25]), and there is a constant $b > 0$ such that the set $\nu^b = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : V(x) < b\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure (the measure condition was first used in [26-28]);
\item[(A_0)] $A(x), B(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $A(0) = B(0) = 0$;
\item[(K_0)] $K(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 < \inf K \leq \sup K < \infty$;
\item[(H_1)] $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)$, $H_s(s,t)$, $H_t(s,t) = o(1)$ as $|s| + |t| \to 0$;
\item[(H_2)] there exist $2 < \alpha < 2^*$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$
|H_s(s, t)|, |H_t(s, t)| \leq C(1 + s^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2}} + t^{\frac{\alpha^2}{2}});
$$

\item[(H_3)] there exist $a_0 > 0$, $p, q > 2$, $\theta \in (2, 2^*)$ such that $H(s, t) \geq 2a_0(|s|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + |t|^{\frac{\theta}{2}})$ and

$$
0 < \frac{\theta}{2} H(s, t) \leq s H_s(s,t) + t H_t(s,t) \text{ for all } s > 0, t > 0.
$$
\end{enumerate}

We can give the example of the nonlinearity $H$ as follows:

$$
H(s, t) = |s|^{\frac{\theta}{2}} + |t|^{\frac{\theta}{2}}, 4 < 2^* < 6 = 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}, \text{ for } N = 3.
$$

Next, we follow the two main results:
Theorem 1. Assume that $(V_0),(A_0),(K_0)$ and $(H_1)-(H_3)$ hold. Then for any $\sigma > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_{\sigma} > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\sigma}$, the perturbed Schrödinger system (1.3) has one least energy solution $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ satisfying

\[
\frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varepsilon^2 (|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + |\nabla v_{\varepsilon}|^2) + V(x)(|u_{\varepsilon}|^2 + |v_{\varepsilon}|^2) \leq \sigma \varepsilon^N. \tag{1.4}
\]

Theorem 2. Let $(V_0),(A_0),(K_0)$ and $(H_1)-(H_3)$ be satisfied. Moreover, assume that $H(u, v)$ is even in $(u, v)$, then for any $m \in N$ and $\sigma > 0$, there is $\varepsilon_{m\sigma} > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{m\sigma}$ the system (1.3) has at least $m$ pairs of solutions $(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon})$ which satisfy the estimate (1.4).

These theorems extend the results in [20]. Observe that though the method used in our paper is similar to the one of [20], the procedure of the main results is not trivial. We must face our problem with complex-valued functions, at the same time, we need in our paper is similar to the one of [20], the procedure of the main results is not trivial.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we describe some preliminaries. Section 3 contains the behavior of (PS) sequences and technical Lemmas. Section 4 includes the proofs of the main results.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\lambda = \varepsilon^{-2}$. We think about the following equivalent problem

\[
\begin{cases}
-(\nabla + i\sqrt{\lambda}A(x))^2u + \lambda V(x)u = \lambda H_{\ast}(|u|^2, |v|^2)u + \lambda K(x)|u|^{2\ast - 2}u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\
-(\nabla + i\sqrt{\lambda}B(x))^2v + \lambda V(x)v = \lambda H_{\ast}(|u|^2, |v|^2)v + \lambda K(x)|v|^{2\ast - 2}v, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{cases} \tag{2.1}
\]

In order to prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we need only prove the following result.

Theorem 3. Assume that $(V_0),(A_0),(K_0)$ and $(H_1)-(H_3)$ hold. Then for $\sigma > 0$, there exists $\Lambda_{\sigma} > 0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \Lambda_{\sigma}$, the system (2.1) has at least one least energy solution $(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda})$ which satisfies

\[
\frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_{\lambda}|^2 + |\nabla v_{\lambda}|^2 + \lambda V(x)(|u_{\lambda}|^2 + |v_{\lambda}|^2)) \leq \sigma \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{2}}. \tag{1.3}
\]

Theorem 4. Let $(V_0),(A_0),(K_0)$and $(H_1)-(H_3)$ be satisfied. Moreover, assume that $H(u, v)$ is even in $(u, v)$, then for any $m \in N$ and $\sigma > 0$, there is $\Lambda_{m\sigma} > 0$ such that $\lambda \geq \Lambda_{m\sigma}$ the system (1.3) has at least $m$ pairs of solutions $(u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda})$ which satisfy the estimate (1.4).

For the convenience, we quote the following notations.

Let $\nabla_{A}u = (\nabla + i\sqrt{\lambda}A)u$, $\nabla_{B}v = (\nabla + i\sqrt{\lambda}B)v$, $E_{\lambda,A}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla_{A}u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ and $E_{\lambda,B}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla_{B}v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$. It is obvious that $E_{\lambda,A}$ is the Hilbert subspace under the scalar product

\[(u, v)_{\lambda,A} = \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((\nabla_{A}u, \nabla_{A}v) + \lambda V(x)uv),\]
the norm induced by the product $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is
\[
\|u\|_{E,A}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla_x u|^2 + \lambda V(x)|u|^2).
\]
It is easily known that $E_{\lambda,A}$ is the closure of $C^0_0(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$. For $E_{\lambda,B}$, there exists the similar results to $E_{\lambda,A}$.

**Remark 2.1.** We have the following diamagnetic inequality (see [11]):
\[
|\nabla_A u(x)| \geq |\nabla v(x)| \quad u \in E_{\lambda,A}(\mathbb{R}^N)
\]
and
\[
|\nabla_B v(x)| \geq |\nabla v(x)| \quad v \in E_{\lambda,B}(\mathbb{R}^N).
\]
Indeed, since $A$, $B$ is real-valued, we have
\[
|\nabla u(x)| = |\text{Re}(\nabla u \overline{u})| = |\text{Re}(\nabla u + i\sqrt{\lambda}A u) \overline{\overline{u}}| \leq |\nabla u + i\sqrt{\lambda}A u| = |\nabla_A u(x)|
\]
and
\[
|\nabla v(x)| = |\text{Re}(\nabla v \overline{v})| = |\text{Re}(\nabla v + i\sqrt{\lambda}B v) \overline{\overline{v}}| \leq |\nabla v + i\sqrt{\lambda}B v| = |\nabla_B v(x)|
\]
(the bar denotes complex conjugation). These facts mean if $u \in E_{\lambda,A}(\mathbb{R}^N), v \in E_{\lambda,B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $|u|, |v| \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and therefore $u, v \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [2, 2^*)$ i.e. if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $E_{\lambda,A}$ ($v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $E_{\lambda,B}$), then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [2, 2^*)$ ($v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($v_n \rightarrow v$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$).

**Remark 2.2.** In general, $E_{\lambda,A}(\mathbb{R}^N) \nsubseteq H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \nsubseteq E_{\lambda,A}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. However, it was proved by Szulkin [12] that if $\Omega$ is a bounded domain with regular boundary, then $E_{\lambda,A}(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ are equivalent, where $E_{\lambda,A}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \nabla A u \in L^2(\Omega) \}$ with the norm
\[
\|u\|_{E_{\lambda,A}(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2).
\]
From Remark 2.1, for each $p \in [2, 2^*)$, there is $c_p > 0$ (independent of $\lambda$) such that, if $\lambda > 1$, we have
\[
(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c_p(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_p(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla A u|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_p \|u\|_{E_{\lambda,A}}.
\]
Set $E_{\lambda} = E_{\lambda,A} \times E_{\lambda,B}$ and $\|(u, v)\|_{E_{\lambda}}^2 = \|u\|_{E_{\lambda,A}}^2 + \|v\|_{E_{\lambda,B}}^2$ for $(u, v) \in E_{\lambda}$. The energy functional associated with (2.1) is defined by
\[
J_{\lambda}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u + i\sqrt{\lambda}A u|^2 + |\nabla v + i\sqrt{\lambda}B v|^2 + \lambda V(x)(|u|^2 + |v|^2)) - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u, v)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|_{E_{\lambda}}^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u, v) \quad \text{for} \quad (u, v) \in E_{\lambda},
\]
where $G(x, u, v) = \frac{K(x)}{2^*}(|u|^{2^*} + |v|^{2^*}) + \frac{1}{2}H(|u|^2, |v|^2)$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, standard arguments [21] indicate that $J_\lambda \in C^1(E_\lambda, \mathbb{R})$ and the critical points of $J_\lambda$ are weak solutions of (2.1).

3 Technical Lemmas

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [20], the following result can be obtained.

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 3 hold and $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_\lambda$. Then $c \geq 0$ and $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $E_\lambda$.

**Proof.** By $(H_3)$, we have

$$J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) - \frac{1}{\theta}J'_\lambda(u_n, v_n)(u_n, v_n)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\|u_n, v_n\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u_n|^{2^*} + |v_n|^{2^*})$$

$$+ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{\theta}(\|u_n\|^2 H_s(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2) + |v_n|^2 H_t(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2)) - \frac{1}{2} H(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2)$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\|u_n, v_n\|_A^2.$$  

Together with $J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \to c$ and $J'_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \to 0$ in $E_\lambda^{-1}$, we have $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $E_\lambda$ and $c \geq 0$. The proof is completed. \qed

By Lemma 3.1, $(PS)_c$ sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in $E_\lambda$. So we can assume $(u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)$ in $E_\lambda$. By Remark 2.1, passing to a subsequence, $u_n \to u$ and $v_n \to v$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [2, 2^*)$ and $u_n \to u, v_n \to v$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$. It is standard that $(u, v)$ is a critical point of $J_\lambda$, namely a weak solution of (2.1).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $s \in [2, 2^*)$. There is a subsequence $\{(u_{n_j}, v_{n_j})\}$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r_\varepsilon > 0$ with

$$\limsup_{j \to \infty} \int_{B_r \setminus B_{r_\varepsilon}} |u_{n_j}|^s + |v_{n_j}|^s \leq \varepsilon,$$

for all $r \geq r_\varepsilon$, where $B_r := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq r \}$.

**Proof.** The proof of Lemma 3.2 is similar to the one of Lemma 3.4 [23]. \qed

Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, satisfying $0 \leq \eta(t) \leq 1, t \geq 0$, $\eta(t) = 1$, if $t \leq 1$, and $\eta(t) = 0$, if $t \geq 2$. Define $\tilde{u}_j(x) = \eta(2|x|/j)u(x)$, $\tilde{v}_j(x) = \eta(2|x|/j)v(x)$, then $\tilde{u}_j \to u$ in $E_{\lambda,A}$ and $\tilde{v}_j \to v$ in $E_{\lambda,A}$.
Lemma 3.3.

\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (H_s(|u_{nj}|^2, |v_{nj}|^2)u_{nj} - H_s(|u_{nj} - \tilde{u}_j|^2, |v_{nj} - \tilde{v}_j|^2)(u_{nj} - \tilde{u}_j) - H_s(|\tilde{u}_j|^2, |\tilde{v}_j|^2)\tilde{\varphi} = 0
\]

and

\[
\lim_{j \to \infty} Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (H_t(|u_{nj}|^2, |v_{nj}|^2)v_{nj} - H_t(|u_{nj} - \tilde{u}_j|^2, |v_{nj} - \tilde{v}_j|^2)(v_{nj} - \tilde{v}_j) - H_t(|\tilde{u}_j|^2, |\tilde{v}_j|^2)\tilde{\psi} = 0,
\]

uniformly in \((\varphi, \psi) \in E_\lambda\) with \(\|\langle\varphi, \psi\rangle\|_{E_\lambda} \leq 1\).

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6 [23], so we omit it. \(\square\)

Lemma 3.4. One has along a subsequence

\[J_\lambda(u_n - \tilde{u}_n, v_n - \tilde{v}_n) \to c - J_\lambda(u, v)\]

and

\[J'_\lambda(u_n - \tilde{u}_n, v_n - \tilde{v}_n) \to 0 \text{ in } E_\lambda^{-1}.
\]

Proof. Since \(\tilde{u}_j \to u\) in \(E_{\lambda A}\), \(\tilde{v}_j \to v\) in \(E_{\lambda B}\) and \((u_j, v_j) \to (u, v)\) in \(E_\lambda\), one has

\[
J_\lambda(u_n - \tilde{u}_n, v_n - \tilde{v}_n) = J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) - J_\lambda(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u_n|^2 - |u_n - \tilde{u}_n|^2 - |\tilde{u}_n|^2) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|v_n|^2 - |v_n - \tilde{v}_n|^2 - |\tilde{v}_n|^2) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2) - H(|u_n - v_n|^2, |\tilde{u}_n - \tilde{v}_n|^2) - H(|\tilde{u}_n|^2, |\tilde{v}_n|^2) + o(1).
\]

Along the lines in proving the Brezis-Lieb lemma, it is easy to check that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u_n|^2 - |u_n - \tilde{u}_n|^2 - |\tilde{u}_n|^2) = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|v_n|^2 - |v_n - \tilde{v}_n|^2 - |\tilde{v}_n|^2) = 0
\]

and

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2) - H(|u_n - v_n|^2, |\tilde{u}_n - \tilde{v}_n|^2) - H(|\tilde{u}_n|^2, |\tilde{v}_n|^2) = 0.
\]

Note that \(J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \to c\) and \(J_\lambda(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n) \to J_\lambda(u, v)\), we have that

\[J_\lambda(u_n - \tilde{u}_n, v_n - \tilde{v}_n) \to c - J_\lambda(u, v).
\]
For any \((\varphi, \psi) \in E_{\lambda}\), we have
\[
J_{\lambda}'(u_n - \tilde{u}_n, v_n - \tilde{v}_n)(\varphi, \psi) = J_{\lambda}'(u_n, v_n)(\varphi, \psi) - J_{\lambda}'(\tilde{u}_n, \tilde{v}_n)(\varphi, \psi)
\]
\[
+ \lambda \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u_n|^{2^* - 2}u_n - |u_n - \tilde{u}_n|^{2^* - 2}(u_n - \tilde{u}_n))\varphi
\]
\[
+ \lambda \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|v_n|^{2^* - 2}v_n - |v_n - \tilde{v}_n|^{2^* - 2}(v_n - \tilde{v}_n))\psi
\]
\[
+ \lambda \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (H_s(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2)u_n - H_s(|u_n - \tilde{u}_n|^2, |v_n - \tilde{v}_n|^2)(u_n - \tilde{u}_n) - H_s(|\tilde{u}_n|^2, |\tilde{v}_n|^2)\tilde{u}_n)\varphi
\]
\[
+ \lambda \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (H_t(|u_n|^2, |v_n|^2)v_n - H_t(|u_n - \tilde{u}_n|^2, |v_n - \tilde{v}_n|^2)(v_n - \tilde{v}_n) - H_t(|\tilde{u}_n|^2, |\tilde{v}_n|^2)\tilde{v}_n)\psi.
\]
It is standard to check that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u_n|^{2^* - 2}u_n - |u_n - \tilde{u}_n|^{2^* - 2}(u_n - \tilde{u}_n))\varphi = 0
\]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|v_n|^{2^* - 2}v_n - |v_n - \tilde{v}_n|^{2^* - 2}(v_n - \tilde{v}_n))\psi = 0
\]
uniformly in \((\varphi, \psi) \in E_{\lambda}\) with \(\|(\varphi, \psi)\|_{\lambda} \leq 1\).

Therefore, the conclusion required holds by Lemma 3.3. The proof is completed. \(\Box\)

Let \(u^1_n = u_n - \tilde{u}_n, v^1_n = v_n - \tilde{v}_n\), then \(u - u = u^1_n + (\tilde{u}_n - u), v - v = v^1_n + (\tilde{v}_n - v)\).
So \((u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)\) in \(E_{\lambda}\) if and only if \((u^1_n, v^1_n) \to (0, 0)\) in \(E_{\lambda}\).

Observe that
\[
J_{\lambda}'(u^1_n, v^1_n) - \frac{1}{2} J_{\lambda}'(u^1_n, v^1_n)(u^1_n, v^1_n) \geq \frac{\lambda}{N} K_{\text{min}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u^1_n|^{2^*} + |v^1_n|^{2^*}),
\]
where \(K_{\text{min}} = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} K(x) > 0\). Hence by Lemma 3.4, we get
\[
|u^1_n|^{2^*} + |v^1_n|^{2^*} \leq \frac{N(c - J_{\lambda}(u, v))}{\lambda K_{\text{min}}} + o(1).
\]

Now, we determine the energy level of the functional \(J_{\lambda}\) below which the \((PS)_c\) condition holds.

Let \(V_b(x) = \max \{V(x), b\}\), where \(b\) is the positive constant in the assumption \((V_0)\).
Since the set \(\nu^b\) has finite measure and \(u^1_n, v^1_n \to 0\) in \(L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)\), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)(|u^1_n|^2 + |v^1_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_b(x)(|u^1_n|^2 + |v^1_n|^2) + o(1).
\]
By \((H_2)\) and \((H_3)\), there exists \(C_b > 0\) such that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u|^2 + |v|^2) + |u|^2 H_*(|u|^2, |v|^2) + |v|^2 H_*(|v|^2, |v|^2) \\
\leq b(|u|_2^2 + |v|_2^2) + C_b(|u|_{2^*}^2 + |v|_{2^*}^2).
\]

Let \(S\) be the best Sobolev constant
\[
S||u||_{2^*}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2,
\]
for all \(u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\).

**Lemma 3.5.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, there is a constant \(\alpha_0 > 0\) independent of \(\lambda\) such that, for any \((PS)_c\) sequence \(\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset E_\lambda\) for \(J_\lambda\) with \((u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u, v)\), either \((u_n, v_n) \to (u, v)\) or \(c - J_\lambda(u, v) \geq \alpha_0 \lambda^{1-N/2}\).

**Proof.** Assume that \((u_n, v_n) \not\to (u, v)\), then
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|u_n^1, v_n^1\|_{\lambda} > 0 \text{ and } c - J_\lambda(u, v) > 0.
\]

By the Sobolev inequality and the diamagnetic inequality, we have
\[
S\|u_n^1\|_{2^*}^2 + \|v_n^1\|_{2^*}^2 \leq \lambda C_b(\|u_n^1\|_{2^*}^2 + \|v_n^1\|_{2^*}^2) + o(1).
\]
It is easy to show that \(\liminf_{n \to \infty}(\|u_n^1\|_{2^*}^2 + \|v_n^1\|_{2^*}^2) > 0\). Thus, by (3.1), we get
\[
S \leq \lambda C_b(\|u_n^1\|_{2^*}^2 + \|v_n^1\|_{2^*}^2)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} + o(1) \\
\leq \lambda C_b(\frac{N(c - J_\lambda(u, v))}{\lambda K_{min}})^{\frac{2}{N}} + o(1) \\
= \lambda^{1 - \frac{2}{N}} C_b(\frac{N}{K_{min}})^{\frac{2}{N}} (c - J_\lambda(u, v))^{\frac{2}{N}} + o(1).
\]

Therefore, we have \(\alpha_0 \lambda^{1-N/2} \leq c - J_\lambda(u, v) + o(1)\), where \(\alpha_0 = S^{N/2} C_b^{-N/2} N^{-1} K_{min}\).
The proof is completed. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.6.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, there is a constant \(\alpha_0 > 0\) independent of \(\lambda\) such that, if a sequence \(\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset E_\lambda\) satisfies
\[
J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \to c < \alpha_0 \lambda^{1-N/2}, J_\lambda'(u_n, v_n) \to 0 \text{ in } E_\lambda^{-1},
\]
then \(\{(u_n, v_n)\}\) is relatively compact in \(E_\lambda\).

**Proof.** From \(J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \to c\) and \(J_\lambda'(u_n, v_n) \to 0\), we get \(\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subset E_\lambda\) is a \((PS)_c\) sequence for \(J_\lambda\). By \(c < \alpha_0 \lambda^{1-N/2}\), we have \(c - J_\lambda(u, v) < \alpha_0 \lambda^{1-N/2} - J_\lambda(u, v)\).
Together with \(J_\lambda(u, v) \geq 0\) and Lemma 3.5, we get the required conclusion. \(\square\)
Lemma 3.7. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, there exist $\alpha_\lambda, \rho_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u, v) > 0, \quad 0 < \|(u, v)\|_\lambda < \rho_\lambda; \quad J_\lambda(u, v) \geq \alpha_\lambda, \quad \text{if } \|(u, v)\|_\lambda = \rho_\lambda.$$

Proof. By $(H_1) - (H_3)$, for $\delta \leq (4\lambda C_2)^{-1}$, there exists $C_\delta$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x, u, v) \leq \delta(|u|^2 + |v|^2) + C_\delta(|u|^2 + |v|^2).$$

Thus

$$J_\lambda(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|^2_\lambda - \lambda\delta(|u|^2 + |v|^2) - \lambda C_\delta(|u|^2 + |v|^2).$$

Observe that $|u|^2 + |v|^2 \leq C_2 \|(u, v)\|^2_\lambda$, we have

$$J_\lambda(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|(u, v)\|^2_\lambda - \lambda C_\delta(|u|^2 + |v|^2),$$

which implies that the conclusions required hold. The proof is completed.

Lemma 3.8. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, for any finite dimensional subspace $F \subset E_\lambda$, one has $J_\lambda(u, v) \to -\infty$ as $(u, v) \in F$, $\|(u, v)\| \to \infty$.

Proof. By the assumptions of Theorem 3

$$J_\lambda(u, v) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|^2_\lambda - \lambda a_0(|u|^p + |v|^q)$$

for all $(u, v) \in E_\lambda$. Since all norms in a finite dimensional space are equivalent and $(p, q > 2)$, we easily obtain the desired conclusion.

Define the functional

$$\Phi_\lambda(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u + i\sqrt{\lambda} Au|^2 + |\nabla v + i\sqrt{\lambda} Bv|^2$$

$$+ \lambda V(x)(|u|^2 + |v|^2) - a_0 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^p + |v|^q).$$

It is obvious that $\Phi_\lambda \in C^1(E_\lambda)$ and $J_\lambda(u, v) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u, v)$ for any $(u, v) \in E_\lambda$.

Note that

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi|^2 : \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}), |\phi|_p = 1 \right\} = 0$$

and

$$\inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \psi|^2 : \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}), |\psi|_q = 1 \right\} = 0.$$
Let $e_\lambda(x) = (\phi_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x), \psi_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x))$, then $\text{supp}e_\lambda \subset B_{\lambda^{-1/2r_\delta}}(0)$. For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$
\Phi_\lambda(te_\lambda) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|e_\lambda\|_\lambda^2 - a_0 \lambda t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x)|^p - a_0 \lambda t^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\psi_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x)|^q
$$

where

$$
I_\lambda(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2 + A(\lambda^{-1/2}x)|u|^2 + B(\lambda^{-1/2}x)|v|^2 + V(\lambda^{-1/2}x)(|u|^2 + |v|^2))
$$

$$
- a_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^p + |v|^q).
$$

It is obvious that

$$
\max_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(t\phi_\delta, t\psi_\delta) = \frac{p - 2}{2p(\lambda a_0)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_\delta|^2 + A(\lambda^{-1/2}x)|\phi_\delta|^2 + V(\lambda^{-1/2}x)|\phi_\delta|^2 \right\}^{\frac{p}{p-2}}
$$

$$
+ \frac{q - 2}{2q(\lambda a_0)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \psi_\delta|^2 + B(\lambda^{-1/2}x)|\psi_\delta|^2 + V(\lambda^{-1/2}x)|\psi_\delta|^2 \right\}^{\frac{q}{q-2}}.
$$

Recall that $A(0) = 0$, $B(0) = 0$, $V(0) = 0$ and $\text{supp}\phi_\delta, \text{supp}\psi_\delta \subset B_{r_\delta}(0)$. Therefore, there exists $\Lambda_\delta > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \Lambda_\delta$, we get

$$
\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(t\phi_\delta, t\psi_\delta) \leq \left( \frac{p - 2}{2p(\lambda a_0)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}} (5\delta)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + \frac{q - 2}{2q(\lambda a_0)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}} (5\delta)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \right) \lambda^{1 - \frac{\nu}{2}}.
$$

(3.2)

It follows from (3.2) that

**Lemma 3.9.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, for any $\sigma > 0$ there exists $\Lambda_\sigma > 0$ such that for each $\lambda \geq \Lambda_\sigma$, there is $\bar{e}_\lambda \in E_\lambda$ with $\|\bar{e}_\lambda\|_\lambda > \rho_\lambda$, $J_\lambda(\bar{e}_\lambda) \leq 0$ and

$$
\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(te_\lambda) \leq \sigma \lambda^{1 - \frac{\nu}{2}},
$$

where $\rho_\lambda$ is defined from Lemma 3.7.

**Proof.** We can choose $\delta < 0$ so small that

$$
\left( \frac{p - 2}{2p(\lambda a_0)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}} (5\delta)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + \frac{q - 2}{2q(\lambda a_0)^{\frac{1}{q-2}}} (5\delta)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \right) \lambda^{1 - \frac{\nu}{2}} \leq \sigma.
$$

We take $e_\lambda(x) = (\phi_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x), \psi_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x))$ and $\Lambda_\delta = \Lambda_\delta$. Let $\tilde{t}_\lambda > 0$ be such that $\tilde{t}_\lambda \|e_\lambda\|_\lambda > \rho_\lambda$ and $J_\lambda(te_\lambda) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq \tilde{t}_\lambda$. So we take $\bar{e}_\lambda = \tilde{t}_\lambda e_\lambda$. The required conclusion holds. \qed
For any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), we can choose \( m \) functions \( \phi^i_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) such that \( \text{supp} \phi^i_\delta \cap \text{supp} \phi^j_\delta = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j \), \( |\phi^i_\delta|_p = 1 \) and \( |\nabla \phi^i_\delta|_2 < \delta \). Similarly, one can also get \( m \) functions \( \psi^i_\delta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \) with \( \text{supp} \psi^i_\delta \cap \text{supp} \psi^j_\delta = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j \), \( |\psi^i_\delta|_q = 1 \) and \( |\nabla \psi^i_\delta|_2 < \delta \). Let \( r^m_\delta > 0 \) be such that \( \text{supp} \phi^i_\delta, \psi^i_\delta \subset B^i_{r^m_\delta}(0) \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

Set \( e^i_\lambda(x) = (\phi^i_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x), \psi^i_\delta(\sqrt{\lambda}x)) = (f^i_\lambda, g^i_\lambda) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), then \( \text{supp} e^i_\lambda(x) \subset B_{\Lambda^{-1/2}r^m_\delta}(0) \).

Let \( F^m_{\lambda, \delta} = \text{span}\{e^1_\lambda, e^2_\lambda, \ldots, e^m_\lambda\} \). For each \( (u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_ie^i_\lambda \in F^m_{\lambda, \delta} \), we get

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla A u|^2 + |\nabla B v|^2) = \sum_{i=1}^m |k_i|^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla A f^i_\lambda|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla B g^i_\lambda|^2 \right),
\]

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)(|u|^2 + |v|^2) = \sum_{i=1}^m |k_i|^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|f^i_\lambda|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)|g^i_\lambda|^2 \right),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2^r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u|^{2^r} + |v|^{2^r}) = \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{i=1}^m |k_i|^{2^r} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)|f^i_\lambda|^{2^r} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)|g^i_\lambda|^{2^r} \right)
\]

and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(k_if^i_\lambda, k_ig^i_\lambda).
\]

Therefore

\[
J_\lambda(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^m J_\lambda(k_ie^i_\lambda)
\]

and

\[
J_\lambda(k_ie^i_\lambda) \leq \phi_\lambda(k_ie^i_\lambda).
\]

Set \( \beta_\delta := \max\{|(\phi^i_\delta, \psi^i_\delta)|^2_2 : \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\} \) and choose some \( \Lambda_{m, \delta} > 0 \) so that

\[
V(\lambda^{2}x) \leq \frac{\delta}{\beta_\delta} \text{ for all } |x| \leq r^m_\delta \text{ and } \lambda \geq \Lambda_{m, \delta}
\]

Similar to the proof mentioned above, we can obtain the following inequality

\[
\max_{(u, v) \in F^m_{\lambda, \delta}} J_\lambda(u, v) \leq \left( \frac{m(p-2)}{2p(qa_0)} (5\delta)^{2^r} + \frac{m(q-2)}{2q(pq_0)} (5\delta)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2}}.
\]  \quad (3.3)

By using the estimate, we can get the following.

**Lemma 3.10.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.7, for any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \sigma > 0 \), there exists \( \Lambda_{m, \sigma} > 0 \) such that for each \( \lambda \geq \Lambda_{m, \delta} \), we can take a \( m \)-dimensional subspace \( F \) satisfying

\[
\max_{(u, v) \in F} J_\lambda(u, v) \leq \sigma \lambda^{\frac{2-N}{2}}.
\]
Proof. Choose \( \delta > 0 \) so small that
\[
\frac{m(p - 2)}{2p(p_0)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} (5\delta)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + \frac{m(q - 2)}{2q(q_0)^{\frac{2}{q-2}}} (5\delta)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \sigma
\]
and take \( F = F_{\lambda_0}^0 \). By (3.3), we get the conclusion as required.

4 Proof of the main results

Firstly, we give the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, for any \( 0 < \sigma < \alpha_0 \), there exists \( \Lambda_{\sigma} > 0 \) such that for each \( \lambda \geq \Lambda_{\sigma} \), we get \( c_{\lambda} \leq \sigma \lambda^{1-N/2} \), where
\[
c_{\lambda} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)),
\]
\[\Gamma_{\lambda} = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1],E_{\lambda}) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = \bar{\epsilon}_{\lambda} \} .\]

In virtue of Lemma 3.5, \( J_{\lambda} \) satisfies the \((PS)_{c_{\lambda}}\) condition. Hence, by the mountain pass theorem, there exists \((u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) \in E_{\lambda}\) satisfying \( J_{\lambda}'(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) = 0 \) and \( J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) = c_{\lambda} \). Therefore, \((u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda})\) is a weak solution of (2.1).

Moreover, it is well known that \((u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda})\) is one least energy solution of (2.1).

Note that \( J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) \leq \sigma \lambda^{1-N/2} \) and \( J_{\lambda}'(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) = 0 \), we have
\[
J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) = J_{\lambda}(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{\theta} J_{\lambda}'(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda})(u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda})
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \| (u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) \|^2 + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x)(|u_{\lambda}|^2 + |v_{\lambda}|^2)
\]
\[
+ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{\theta} \left( |u_{\lambda}|^2 H_s(|u_{\lambda}|^2,|v_{\lambda}|^2) + |v_{\lambda}|^2 H_t(|u_{\lambda}|^2,|v_{\lambda}|^2) \right) - \frac{1}{2} H(|u_{\lambda}|^2,|v_{\lambda}|^2)
\]
\[
\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \| (u_{\lambda},v_{\lambda}) \|^2 .
\]

So the diamagnetic inequality implies that
\[
\frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla|u_{\lambda}|^2 + |\nabla|v_{\lambda}|^2 + \lambda V(x)(|u_{\lambda}|^2 + |v_{\lambda}|^2)) \leq \sigma \lambda^{1-N/2} .
\]

The proof is completed.

Secondly, we give the proof of Theorem 4.

Proof. By Lemma 3.10, for any \( m \in N \) and \( \sigma \in (0,\alpha_0) \), there exists \( \Lambda_{m\sigma} \) such that for \( \lambda \geq \Lambda_{m\sigma} \), we can choose a \( m \)-dimensional subspace \( F \) with \( \max J_{\lambda}(F) \leq \sigma \lambda^{1-N/2} \).
By Lemma 3.8, there is $R > 0$ (depending on $\lambda$ and $m$) such that $J_\lambda(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in F|B_R$.

Denote the set of all symmetric (in the sense that $-\Omega = \Omega$) and closed subsets of $E_\lambda$ by $\Sigma$. For each $\Omega \in \Sigma$, let $\text{gen}(\Omega)$ be the Krasnoselski genus and let

$$i(A) := \min_{h \in \Gamma_m} \text{gen}(h(\Omega) \cap \partial B_{\rho_\lambda})$$

where $\Gamma_m$ is the set of all odd homeomorphisms $h \in C(E_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ and $\rho_\lambda$ is the number of Lemma 3.7. Then $i$ is a version of Benci’s pseudoindex[22]. Let

$$c_{\lambda_j} = \inf_{i(\Omega) \geq j} \sup_{u \in \Omega} J_\lambda(u), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$  

Since $J_\lambda(u) \geq \alpha_\lambda$ for all $u \in \partial B_{\rho_\lambda}$ (see Lemma 3.7) and $i(F) = \dim F = m$,

$$\alpha_\lambda \leq c_{\lambda_1} \leq c_{\lambda_2} \leq \cdots \leq c_{\lambda_m} \leq \sup_{(u,v) \in F_{\lambda\sigma}} J_\lambda(u,v) \leq \sigma \lambda^{1-\frac{N}{2}}.$$  

In connection with Lemma 3.6, we know that $J_\lambda$ satisfies the $(PS)_{c_{\lambda_j}}$ condition at all levels $c_{\lambda_j}$. By the critical point theory, all $c_{\lambda_j}$ are critical levels and $J_\lambda$ has at least $m$ pairs of non-trivial critical points. Finally, as in the proof of Theorem 3, we easily get these solutions are the least energy solutions.
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