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1. Introduction

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the class of functions analytic in \( \Delta := \{ z : |z| < 1 \} \), and \( \mathcal{H}[a,n] \) be the subclass of \( \mathcal{H} \) consisting of functions of the form \( f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \cdots \). Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the subclass of \( \mathcal{H} \) consisting of functions of the form \( f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots \). With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions \( f \) and \( g \) be analytic in \( \Delta \). Then we say that the function \( f \) is subordinate to \( g \) if there exists a Schwarz function \( \omega(z) \), analytic in \( \Delta \) with

\[
\omega(0) = 0, \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta),
\]

such that

\[
f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta).
\]

We denote this subordination by

\[
f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta).
\]

In particular, if the function \( g \) is univalent in \( \Delta \), the above subordination is equivalent to

\[
f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).
\]
Let \( p, h \in \mathcal{H} \) and let \( \phi(r,s,t;z) : \mathbb{C}^3 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \). If \( p \) and \( \phi(p(z),zp'(z),z^2p''(z);z) \) are univalent and if \( p \) satisfies the second-order superordination
\[
h(z) < \phi(p(z),zp'(z),z^2p''(z);z),
\]
then \( p \) is a solution of the differential superordination (1.5). (If \( f \) is subordinate to \( F \), then \( F \) is superordinate to \( f \).) An analytic function \( q \) is called a subordinant if \( q \prec p \) for all \( p \) satisfying (1.5). A univalent subordinant \( \tilde{q} \) that satisfies \( q \prec \tilde{q} \) for all subordinants \( q \) of (1.5) is said to be the best subordinant. Recently Miller and Mocanu [5] obtained conditions on \( h, q, \) and \( \phi \) for which the following implication holds:
\[
h(z) \prec \phi(p(z),zp'(z),z^2p''(z);z) \Rightarrow q(z) < p(z).
\]
(1.6)

Using the results of Miller and Mocanu [5], Bulboacă [3] considered certain classes of first-order differential superordinations as well as superordination-preserving integral operators [2]. Ali et al. [1] have used the results of Bulboacă [3] and obtained sufficient conditions for certain normalized analytic functions \( f(z) \) to satisfy
\[
q_1(z) < \frac{zf''(z)}{f(z)} < q_2(z),
\]
where \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are given univalent functions in \( \Delta \) with \( q_1(0) = 1 \) and \( q_2(0) = 1 \). Shanmugam et al. [8] obtained sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions \( f(z) \) to satisfy
\[
q_1(z) < \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)} < q_2(z),
\]
\[
q_1(z) < \frac{z^2f'(z)}{\{f(z)\}^2} < q_2(z),
\]
where \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are given univalent functions in \( \Delta \) with \( q_1(0) = 1 \) and \( q_2(0) = 1 \), while Obradović and Owa [7] obtained subordination results with the quantity \( (f(z)/z)^\mu \) (see also [10]).

Obradović [6] introduced a class of functions \( f \in \mathcal{A} \) such that for \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \),
\[
\Re \left\{ f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \right\} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta.
\]
(1.9)

He called this class of function “non-Bazilević” type. Tuneski and Darus [11] obtained Fekete-Szegő inequality for the non-Bazilević class of functions. Using this non-Bazilević class, Wang et al. [12] studied many subordination results for the class \( N(\alpha,\lambda,A,B) \) defined as
\[
N(\alpha,\lambda,A,B) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : (1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\},
\]
(1.10)

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B, 0 < \alpha < 1 \).
The main object of the present sequel to the aforementioned works is to apply a method based on the differential subordination in order to derive several subordination results. Furthermore, we obtain the previous results of Srivastava and Lashin [10], Singh [9] and Obradović and Owa [7] as special cases of some of the results presented here.

2. Preliminaries

In our present investigation, we will need the following definition and results.

Definition 2.1 (see [5, Definition 2, page 817]). Denote by $Q$ the set of all functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\Delta - E(f)$, where

$$E(f) = \{ \zeta \in \partial \Delta : \lim_{z \to \zeta} f(z) = \infty \}, \quad (2.1)$$

and are such that $f'(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial \Delta - E(f)$.

Theorem 2.2 (see [4, Theorem 3.4h, page 132]). Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$ and let $\theta$ and $\phi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\Delta)$. Set $Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z))$, $h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z)$. Suppose that

1. $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$;
2. $\Re(zh'(z))/Q(z) > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

If

$$\theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\phi(p(z)) < \theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\phi(q(z)), \quad (2.2)$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.3 (see [8]). Let $q$ be a convex univalent function in $\Delta$ and let $\psi, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(1 + (zq''(z)/q'(z))) > \max\{0, -\Re(\psi/\gamma)\}$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in $\Delta$ and

$$\psi p(z) + \gamma zp'(z) < \psi q(z) + \gamma zq'(z), \quad (2.3)$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Lemma 2.4 (see [4, Corollary 3.4h.1, page 135]). Let $q(z)$ be univalent in $\Delta$ and let $\varphi(z)$ be analytic in a domain containing $q(\Delta)$. If $zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ is starlike, and

$$zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) < zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)), \quad (2.4)$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.5 (see [3]). Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk $\Delta$ and let $\theta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\Delta)$. Suppose that

1. $\Re[\theta'(q(z))/\varphi(q(z))] > 0$ for $z \in \Delta$;
2. $zq'(z)\varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$. 
If \( p(z) \in H[q(0),1] \cap Q \), with \( p(\Delta) \subseteq D \), and \( \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) \) is univalent in \( \Delta \), and
\[
\theta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \theta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)),
\]
then \( q(z) \prec p(z) \) and \( q \) is the best subordinant.

**Lemma 2.6** (see [5, Theorem 8, page 822]). Let \( q \) be convex univalent in \( \Delta \) and \( \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \). Further assume that \( \Re[\gamma] > 0 \). If \( p(z) \in H[q(0),1] \cap Q \), and \( p(z) + \gamma z p'(z) \) is univalent in \( \Delta \), then
\[
q(z) + \gamma zq'(z) \prec p(z) + \gamma z p'(z)
\]
implies \( q(z) \prec p(z) \) and \( q \) is the best subordinant.

### 3. Subordination for analytic functions

By using Lemma 2.3, we first prove the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( q \) be univalent in \( \Delta \), \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \). Suppose \( q \) satisfies
\[
\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) > \max \left\{ 0, -\Re \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \right\} \right\}.
\]
(3.1)
If \( f \in A \) satisfies the subordination
\[
(1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda z q'(z)}{\alpha},
\]
then
\[
\left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \prec q(z)
\]
(3.3)
and \( q \) is the best dominant.

**Proof.** Define the function \( p(z) \) by
\[
p(z) := \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha.
\]
(3.4)
Then
\[
\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \alpha \left[ 1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right],
\]
(3.5)
which, in light of hypothesis (3.2) of Theorem 3.1, yields the following subordination:
\[
p(z) + \frac{\lambda z p'(z)}{\alpha} \prec q(z) + \frac{\lambda z q'(z)}{\alpha}.
\]
(3.6)
The assertion of Theorem 3.1 now follows by an application of Lemma 2.3 with \( \gamma = \lambda/\alpha \) and \( \psi = 1 \). \( \square \)
Taking \( q(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) \) in Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\) and (3.1) hold. If \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), and

\[
(1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} < \frac{\lambda (A - B) z}{\alpha (1 + Bz)^2} + \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},
\]

then

\[
\left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}
\]

and \((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)\) is the best dominant.

Theorem 3.1 for the choice of \( q(z) = (1 + z)/(1 - z) \) reduces to the following.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let (3.1) hold. If \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), and

\[
(1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f''(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} < \frac{2\lambda z}{\alpha (1 - z)^2} + \frac{1 + z}{1 - z},
\]

then

\[
\left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}
\]

and \((1 + z)/(1 - z)\) is the best dominant.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( q \) be univalent in \( \Delta \), \( \gamma, \mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C} \), and \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \). Let \( f \in \mathcal{A} \). Suppose \( q \) satisfies

\[
\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right\} > 0.
\]

If

\[
1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} < 1 + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},
\]

then

\[
\left[ \frac{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q(z)
\]

and \( q \) is the best dominant.

**Proof.** Define the function \( p(z) \) by

\[
p(z) := \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu, \quad z \neq 0.
\]
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Then a computation shows that

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}. \quad (3.15)$$

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := 1, \quad \phi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \quad (3.16)$$

it can be easily observed that \( \theta(\omega) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{C} \), \( \phi(\omega) \) is analytic in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \), and that

$$\phi(\omega) \neq 0 \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}). \quad (3.17)$$

Also, we let

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = y\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)},$$

$$h(z) = \theta[q(z)] + Q(z) = 1 + y\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}. \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.11), we find that \( Q(z) \) is starlike univalent in \( \Delta \) and that

$$\Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (3.19)$$

by the hypothesis (3.11) of Theorem 3.4. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2, our proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed. \( \square \)

For a special case when \( q(z) = 1/(1 - z)^{2b} \) \((b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})\), \( \beta = 0 \), \( y = 1/b \), and \( \mu = 1 \), Theorem 3.4 reduces at once to the following known result obtained by Srivastava and Lashin [10].

**Corollary 3.5.** Let \( b \) be a nonzero complex number. If \( f \in \mathcal{A} \), and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] < \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}, \quad (3.20)$$

then

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} < \frac{1}{(1 - z)^{2b}} \quad (3.21)$$

and \( 1/(1 - z)^{2b} \) is the best dominant.

For a special case when \( q(z) = 1/(1 - z)^{2b} \) \((b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})\), \( \beta = 1 \), \( y = 1/b \), and \( \mu = 1 \) Theorem 3.4 reduces at once to another known result obtained by Srivastava and Lashin [10].
Corollary 3.6. Let $b$ be a nonzero complex number. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{b - f'(z)} < \frac{1+z}{1-z},$$

then

$$f'(z) < \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2b}}$$

and $1/(1 - z)^{2b}$ is the best dominant.

For $q(z) = (1 + Bz)^{\mu(A - B)/B}$, $\gamma = 1/\mu$, and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following known result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

Corollary 3.7. Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$zf'(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} < (1 + Bz)^{\mu(A - B)/B} \quad (z \in \Delta; \ z \neq 0; \ \mu \in \mathbb{C}; \ \mu \neq 0)$$

and $(1 + Bz)^{\mu(A - B)/B}$ is the best dominant.

We remark here that $q(z) = (1 + Bz)^{\mu(A - B)/B}$ is univalent if and only if $|\mu(A - B)/B| \leq 1$ or $|\mu(A - B)/B| + 1 \leq 1$.

For $q(z) = e^{\mu Az}$, $\gamma = 1/\mu$, and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following known result obtained by Obradović and Owa [7].

Corollary 3.8. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$zf'(z) < 1 + Az,$$

then

$$\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} < e^{\mu Az} \quad (z \in \Delta; \ z \neq 0; \ \mu \in \mathbb{C}; \ \mu \neq 0)$$

and $e^{\mu Az}$ is the best dominant.

Similar to the previous corollary, the function $q(z) = e^{\mu Az}$ is univalent if and only if $|\mu A| < \pi$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $q$ be univalent in $\Delta$, $\gamma \neq 0$, $\delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $q$ satisfies

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\} > 0,$$
On sandwich theorems

and also $\Re(\alpha/\gamma) > 0$. Let

$$\Psi(z) := \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta.$$ (3.29)

If

$$\Psi(z) < \alpha q(z) + \delta + \gamma zq'(z),$$ (3.30)

then

$$\left[ \frac{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q(z)$$ (3.31)

and $q$ is the best dominant.

Proof. Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu.$$ (3.32)

Then a computation shows that

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)},$$ (3.33)

and hence

$$\mu p(z) \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} = zp'(z).$$ (3.34)

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := \alpha \omega + \delta, \quad \phi(\omega) := \gamma,$$ (3.35)

it can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Also, we let

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \gamma zq'(z),$$

$$h(z) = \theta[q(z)] + Q(z) = \alpha q(z) + \delta + \gamma zq'(z).$$ (3.36)

From (3.28), we find that $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in $\Delta$, and that

$$\Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} \right\} > 0$$ (3.37)

by the hypothesis (3.28) of Theorem 3.9. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.2, our proof of Theorem 3.9 is completed. \qed
For $\beta = 1$, $\delta = -\alpha$, $\gamma = 1$, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let $q$ be univalent in $\Delta$. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}$ and $1 + \alpha > 0$. Suppose $f$ satisfies

$$\Re\left\{\alpha + 1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\} > 0. \quad (3.38)$$

If

$$\alpha\left\{(f'(z))^\mu - 1\right\} + \mu\left\{\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}(f'(z))^\mu\right\} < \alpha q(z) - \alpha + zq'(z), \quad (3.39)$$

then

$$[f'(z)]^\mu < q(z) \quad (3.40)$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.

Taking $q(z) = 1 + \lambda/(1 + \alpha)z$, we obtain a recent result of Singh [9, Theorem 1(ii), page 571].

4. Superordination for analytic functions

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\Delta$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose $q$ satisfies

$$\Re\{\lambda\} > 0 \quad (4.1)$$

and $(z/f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$. Let

$$(1 + \lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha} \quad (4.2)$$

be univalent in $\Delta$. If

$$q(z) + \frac{\lambda zq'(z)}{\alpha} < (1 + \lambda)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha - \lambda f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{1+\alpha}, \quad (4.3)$$

then

$$q(z) < \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha \quad (4.4)$$

and $q$ is the best subordinant.

**Proof.** Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha. \quad (4.5)$$
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Then a computation shows that

\[ p(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z p'(z) = (1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f''(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha}. \] (4.6)

Theorem 4.1 follows as an application of Lemma 2.6. □

Taking \( q(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz) \) in Theorem 4.1, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\). Let \( q \) be convex univalent in \( \Delta \). Suppose \( q \) satisfies \( \Re(\lambda) > 0 \) and \((z/f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q\). Let

\[ (1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f''(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha}, \] (4.7)

be univalent in \( \Delta \). If

\[ \frac{\lambda(A-B)z + 1 + Az}{\alpha(1+Bz)^2} + \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} < (1 + \lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f''(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha}, \] (4.8)

then

\[ \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} < \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha \] (4.9)

and \((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)\) is the best subordinant.

Since the proof of Theorem 5.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, we state the theorem without proof.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( q \) be convex univalent in \( \Delta \), \( \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \), \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \), and \( f \in \mathcal{A} \). Suppose \([((1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z))/z]^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q\), and

\[ 1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\} \] (4.10)

is univalent in \( \Delta \). If

\[ 1 + \gamma \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} < 1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)} - 1 \right\}, \] (4.11)

then

\[ q(z) < \left[ \frac{(1-\beta)f(z) + \beta zf'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \] (4.12)

and \( q \) is the best subordinant.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( q \) be convex univalent in \( \Delta \), \( \gamma \neq 0 \), \( \delta, \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \), and let \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \). Let \( f \in \mathcal{A} \). Suppose \( q \) satisfies

\[ \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} q'(z) \right\} > 0. \] (4.13)
If

$$\alpha q(z) + \delta + \gamma q'(z) \prec \left[ \frac{(1-\beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^{\mu} \{ \alpha + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} + \delta, \right.$$  

(4.14)

then

$$q(z) \prec \left[ \frac{(1-\beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^{\mu}$$  

(4.15)

and $q$ is the best subordinant.

**Proof.** Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) := \left[ \frac{(1-\beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^{\mu}. \right.$$  

(4.16)

Then a computation shows that

$$\mu \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} = z p'(z) \frac{p(z)}{p(z)},$$  

(4.17)

and hence

$$\mu p(z) \left\{ \frac{z f'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1-\beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} = z p'(z).$$  

(4.18)

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := \alpha \omega + \delta, \quad \phi(\omega) := \gamma,$$  

(4.19)

it can be easily observed that both $\theta(\omega)$ and $\phi(\omega)$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$. Now,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\theta'(q(z))}{\phi(q(z))} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{\alpha q'(z)}{\gamma} \right\} > 0,$$  

(4.20)

by the hypothesis (4.13) of Theorem 4.4. Thus, by applying Theorem 2.5, our proof of Theorem 4.4 is completed. $\square$

### 5. Sandwich results

Combining the results of differential subordination and superordination, we state the following “sandwich results.”

**Theorem 5.1.** Let $q_1$ be convex univalent and let $q_2$ be univalent in $\Delta$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose $q_1$ satisfies (4.1) and $q_2$ satisfies (3.1). If $0 \neq (z/f(z))^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(q(0),1) \cap Q$, $(1+\lambda)(z/f(z))^\alpha - \lambda f''(z)/f'(z)^{1+\alpha}$ is univalent in $\Delta$, and

$$q_1(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z q_1'(z) \prec (1+\lambda) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - \lambda f''(z) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{1+\alpha} \prec q_2(z) + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} z q_2'(z),$$  

(5.1)
then
\[ q_1(z) < \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha < q_2(z) \] (5.2)
and \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are, respectively, the best subordinant and best dominant.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( q_1 \) be convex univalent and let \( q_2 \) be univalent in \( \Delta \), \( \gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}, \mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \), and \( q_2 \) satisfies (3.11). Let \( f \in \mathcal{A} \). Suppose \( 0 \neq \left( \left( 1 - \beta \right) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)/z \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0),1] \cap Q \),

\[
1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\}
\] (5.3)
is univalent in \( \Delta \). If

\[
1 + \gamma \frac{zq_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} < 1 + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} < 1 + \gamma \frac{zq_2'(z)}{q_2(z)},
\] (5.4)
then
\[ q_1(z) < \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q_2(z) \] (5.5)
and \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( q_1 \) be convex univalent and let \( q_2 \) be univalent in \( \Delta \), \( \gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}, \mu \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \). Suppose \( q_1 \) satisfies (4.13), \( q_2 \) satisfies (3.28), and \( \left( \left( 1 - \beta \right) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)/z \right)^\mu \in \mathcal{H}[q(0),1] \cap Q \). Let

\[
\left[ \frac{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta
\] (5.6)
be univalent in \( \Delta \). If

\[
\alpha q_1(z) + \delta + \gamma z q_1'(z)
\]

\[
< \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu \left\{ \alpha + \gamma \mu \left\{ \frac{zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z)}{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)} - 1 \right\} \right\} + \delta
\] (5.7)
\[
< \alpha q_2(z) + \delta + \gamma z q_2'(z),
\]
then
\[ q_1(z) < \left[ \frac{(1 - \beta) f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{z} \right]^\mu < q_2(z) \] (5.8)
and \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
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